

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/539,031	06/13/2005	Rajagopal Bakthavatchalam	60425(72021)	2029
21874 7590 05/02/2007 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			MOORE, SUSANNA	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,031	BAKTHAVATCHALAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susanna Moore	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
·—	, —					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27,30,31,33,41,42,45,49 and 56-59 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27,30,31,33,41,42,45,49 and 56-59	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/539,031

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group (I), claim(s) 1-3, 8-27, 30, 31, 33, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 56-59, drawn to quinazolines, wherein X= nitrogen and V= nitrogen, compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (II), claim(s) 1-3, 7, 9-19, 20-27, 30, 31, 33, 41, 42, 45, 49, and 56-59, drawn to compounds of the formula in claim 1, wherein 1,8-naphthyridines, wherein Z= nitrogen and X= nitrogen or 1,6-naphthyridines, wherein Z= nitrogen and V= nitrogen are formed, compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (III), claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9-19, 24, 26, 27, 30, 31, 33, 41, 42, 45, 49, and 56-59, drawn to compounds of the formula in claim 1, pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines, wherein Z, X and V= nitrogen compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (IV), claim(s) 1-27, 30, 31, 33, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 56-59, drawn to compounds of the formula in claim 1, not covered in Groups (I-III), compositions and methods of intended use.

Note, if Group IV is elected, a species election is required.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37 CFR 1.475(a) Group I -Group IV lack unity of invention since under 37 CFR 1.475:

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features...those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,031 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

The technical feature corresponding to group I, formula (I), is the substituted quinazoline scaffold, wherein X= nitrogen and V= nitrogen. Group II contains a 1,8-naphthyridines, wherein Z= nitrogen and X= nitrogen, or 1,6-naphthyridines, Z= nitrogen and V= nitrogen of formula (I). The special technical feature of Group (III) is the pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines, wherein Z, X and V= nitrogen. The difference between groups I-III is the bicyclic core. Group (IV) embraces a large number of different bicyclic cores, which are not covered by Groups (I-III), e.g. pyrimido[4,5-e][1,2,4]triazines, pyrido[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazines and pyrimido[4,5-d]pyrimidines. These bicyclic ring systems are not considered equivalent. Therefore the above claims, are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity of invention because they lack a common core structure and the technical features present fail to define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to only one invention.

Furthermore, since the groups do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to the groups restricted above. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the

Art Unit: 1624

art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to John B. Alexander on April 26, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant was advised a Restriction requirement was being sent by mail.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/539,031 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

G⁄∕ SM

Mark L. Berch
Primary examiner
Art Unit 1624
Technology Center 1600